**Postgraduate Project Proposal – Milestone 1 Evaluation Form**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Project Title:** |  |
| **Supervisor:** |  |
| **Co-Supervisor** |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Student ID**  |  |
|  |  |
| **Student Name** |  |

**Feedback on the Scientific Contents**

|  |
| --- |
| For each of the following evaluation criteria, provide detailed feedback to the student |
| Is the **Background** of the problem extensively studied and explained? |
| **Comments:** |
| **Recommendation** | 🗌 It does not need revision | 🗌 It needs a minor revision | 🗌 It needs a major revision |

|  |
| --- |
| Is the **Literature Review** comprehensively performed?Does it include recent literature and scientific research articles published in the proposed research field? |
| **Comments:** |
| **Recommendation** | 🗌 It does not need revision | 🗌 It needs a minor revision | 🗌 It needs a major revision |

|  |
| --- |
| Is the **Problem Statement** technically written? Does it include the technical description of the problem, research gap and research ideas to bridge that gap in finding a solution? |
| **Comments:** |
| **Recommendation** | 🗌 It does not need revision | 🗌 It needs a minor revision | 🗌 It needs a major revision |

|  |
| --- |
| Is the **Research (Project) Motivation** well-reasoned? Does it demonstrate why the current research is required and how it will be useful to solve that specific problem in the research domain? |
| **Comments:** |
| **Recommendation** | 🗌 It does not need revision | 🗌 It needs a minor revision | 🗌 It needs a major revision |

|  |
| --- |
| Is the **Methodology** well described and based on the recent technological trends? Is it scientifically illustrated using flowchart, diagrams, or pseudocode? |
| **Comments:** |
| **Recommendation** | 🗌 It does not need revision | 🗌 It needs a minor revision | 🗌 It needs a major revision |

|  |
| --- |
| Are all the base **Project Requirements** identified? Are the specified requirements relevant to the project? |
| **Comments:** |
| **Recommendation** | 🗌 It does not need revision | 🗌 It needs a minor revision | 🗌 It needs a major revision |

|  |
| --- |
| Are the **Expected Outcomes** correctly listed and sound reasonable? Does it **align** with the problem statement? |
| **Comments:** |
| **Recommendation** | 🗌 It does not need revision | 🗌 It needs a minor revision | 🗌 It needs a major revision |

**Feedback on the Project Report**

|  |
| --- |
| Is the **Report Technically** written in terms of comprehensiveness, clarity, and accuracy? Does the text present information in the most professional and accessible way possible? |
| **Comments:** |
| **Recommendation** | 🗌 It does not need revision | 🗌 It needs a minor revision | 🗌 It needs a major revision |

|  |
| --- |
| Is the **English** language (i.e., grammar and spelling) correct mostly? |
| **Comments:** |
| **Recommendation** | 🗌 It does not need revision | 🗌 It needs a minor revision | 🗌 It needs a major revision |

|  |
| --- |
| Are the **Style and Formatting** of the report, correct? If not, then suggest for improvement(s) for the problems identified. |
| **Comments:** |
| **Recommendation** | 🗌 It does not need revision | 🗌 It needs a minor revision | 🗌 It needs a major revision |

**Feedback on the Project Presentation**

|  |
| --- |
| Is the student’s **Presentation Technically** sound in terms of comprehensiveness, clarity, and accuracy? Do the slides contain information in the most professional and comprehensible way possible? |
| **Comments:** |
| **Recommendation** | 🗌 It does not need revision | 🗌 It needs a minor revision | 🗌 It needs a major revision |

|  |
| --- |
| Was the student able to provide **Meaningful Answers** to the evaluators’ questions? Were the answers relevant and to the point? |
| **Comments:** |
| **Recommendation** | 🗌 It does not need revision | 🗌 It needs a minor revision | 🗌 It needs a major revision |

|  |
| --- |
| Was the student able to present the **Project Contents** effectively in terms of interaction with the committee, delivering the message with clarity, engaging the audience in the presentation? |
| **Comments:** |
| **Recommendation** | 🗌 It does not need revision | 🗌 It needs a minor revision | 🗌 It needs a major revision |

|  |
| --- |
| Were the **Style and Formatting** of the presentation contents good? If not, then suggest for improvement(s) for the problems identified. |
| **Comments:** |
| **Recommendation** | 🗌 It does not need revision | 🗌 It needs a minor revision | 🗌 It needs a major revision |

**Additional Remarks**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Evaluator’s Name:** |  | **Signature:** |  | **Date:** |  |