**Postgraduate Project Proposal - Milestone 3 Evaluation Form**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Project Title:** |  |
| **Supervisor:** |  |
| **Co-Supervisor** |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Student ID** |  |
|  |  |
| **Student Name** |  |

**Feedback on the Scientific Content**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| For each of the following evaluation criteria, provide detailed feedback to the student | | | |
| Did the student incorporate all the feedback from **M2 evaluation** in the response form? Have sufficient actions been taken based on the feedback? | | | |
| **Comments:** | | | |
| **Recommendation** | 🗌 It does not need revision | 🗌 It needs a minor revision | 🗌 It needs a major revision |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Is there **analogy** between design/solution specification and implementation? Or **justification** for any change in the proposed design? | | | |
| **Comments:** | | | |
| **Recommendation** | 🗌 It does not need revision | 🗌 It needs a minor revision | 🗌 It needs a major revision |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Is the **Implementation Progress** at the satisfactory level? | | | |
| **Comments:** | | | |
| **Recommendation** | 🗌 It does not need revision | 🗌 It needs a minor revision | 🗌 It needs a major revision |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| How could you rate the quality of **Partial Implementation**? | | | |
| **Comments:** | | | |
| **Recommendation** | 🗌 It does not need revision | 🗌 It needs a minor revision | 🗌 It needs a major revision |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Did the student provide sufficient justification of **Preliminary Outcomes/Results**? | | | |
| **Comments:** | | | |
| **Recommendation** | 🗌 It does not need revision | 🗌 It needs a minor revision | 🗌 It needs a major revision |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Was the student able to demonstrate sufficient command on **Tools and Techniques** used for implementation? | | | |
| **Comments:** | | | |
| **Recommendation** | 🗌 It does not need revision | 🗌 It needs a minor revision | 🗌 It needs a major revision |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Did the report show the conformity of implementation with **Professional Practices/Standards**? | | | |
| **Comments:** | | | |
| **Recommendation** | 🗌 It does not need revision | 🗌 It needs a minor revision | 🗌 It needs a major revision |

**Feedback on the Project Report**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Is the **Report Technically** written in terms of comprehensiveness, clarity, and accuracy? Does the text present information in the most professional and accessible way possible? | | | |
| **Comments:** | | | |
| **Recommendation** | 🗌 It does not need revision | 🗌 It needs a minor revision | 🗌 It needs a major revision |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Is the **English** language (i.e., grammar and spelling) correct mostly? | | | |
| **Comments:** | | | |
| **Recommendation** | 🗌 It does not need revision | 🗌 It needs a minor revision | 🗌 It needs a major revision |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Are the **Style and Formatting** of the report, correct? If not, then suggest for improvement(s) for the problems identified. | | | |
| **Comments:** | | | |
| **Recommendation** | 🗌 It does not need revision | 🗌 It needs a minor revision | 🗌 It needs a major revision |

**Feedback on the Project Presentation**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Is the student’s **Presentation Technically** sound in terms of comprehensiveness, clarity, and accuracy? Do the slides contain information in the most professional and comprehensible way possible? | | | |
| **Comments:** | | | |
| **Recommendation** | 🗌 It does not need revision | 🗌 It needs a minor revision | 🗌 It needs a major revision |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Was the student able to provide **Meaningful Answers** to the evaluators’ questions? Were the answers relevant and to the point? | | | |
| **Comments:** | | | |
| **Recommendation** | 🗌 It does not need revision | 🗌 It needs a minor revision | 🗌 It needs a major revision |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Was the student able to present the **Project Contents** effectively in terms of interaction with the committee, delivering the message with clarity, engaging the audience in the presentation? | | | |
| **Comments:** | | | |
| **Recommendation** | 🗌 It does not need revision | 🗌 It needs a minor revision | 🗌 It needs a major revision |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Were the **Style and Formatting** of the presentation contents good? If not, then suggest for improvement(s) for the problems identified. | | | |
| **Comments:** | | | |
| **Recommendation** | 🗌 It does not need revision | 🗌 It needs a minor revision | 🗌 It needs a major revision |

**Additional Remarks**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Evaluator’s Name:** |  | **Signature:** |  | **Date:** |  |